
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/524,101	BUCHMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after final</u>		
(1) <u>Jeanine A Goldberg</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Laleh Shayestheh</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: September 4, 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
a Moldberg		
	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/524,101

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to determine whether applicants would like to proceed to allowance with the allowable subject matter indicated in the final rejection. Laleh Shayestheh indicated that applicant did not wish to narrow the claims to the allowable subject matter.